RETAIL ENERGY CODE

This document is classified as **WHITE**.
Information is for public, unrestricted dissemination and publication.

01	Proposal Plan
02	Impact Assessment
03	Consultation
04	**Final Recommendation**

CHANGE REPORT

R0242 MISSING SCENARIO VARIANT FROM R0043

DOCUMENT VERSION	V1.0
PROPOSED IMPLEMENTATION DATE	27 February 2026
IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	Big Bang

RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE	Technical Expert Panel	**CHANGE PATH**	Self-Governance
PRIORITY STATUS	Low	**URGENCY**	Standard
IMPACTED STAKEHOLDERS	DNOs, CMRMs		

LEAD ANALYST (SOLUTION LEAD)
CAROLINE FREIMULLER
Click to send an email

OVERVIEW

There is a missing Scenario Variant from the introduction of REC Change R0043. Schedule 14 Metering Operations states the Crowded Meter Room MOA (CMRM) should send a D0139 to the Energy Supplier and Distribution Network Operator (DNO) but the Scenario Variant of CMRM to DNO is missing.

RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE DECISION

On **25 June 2025,** the REC Technical Expert Panel voted in line with the Code Manager recommendation to:

- **APPROVE** the proposed change solution;
- **APPROVE** the proposed implementation approach; and
- **APPROVE** the proposed implementation date of 27 February 2026.

RETAIL ENERGY CODE

CONTENTS

Responsible Committee Decision 1
1 Summary 3
2 Problem Statement 3
3 Impacts 3
4 Cross-Code Impacts 3
5 Proposal plan 4
6 Priority Status and Urgency 4
7 Proposed Solution 5
8 Summary of Impact Assessment Activity 7
9 Risks, Assumptions, Issues and Dependencies 8
10 Business Case Assessment 8
11 Case for Change 9
12 Summary of Consultation Responses 9
13 Summary of Amendments Made Following Consultation 10
14 Appendix 11
15 Glossary 11

BACKGROUND

1 SUMMARY

R0043 Commissioning of Works by the Crowded Meter Room Coordinator (CMRC) to Resolve Issues In Crowded Meter Rooms introduced the CMRC and Crowded Meter Room MOA (CMRM) to the REC. Both of these Parties are expected to send Market Messages, but one Scenario Variant was missed from the Data Specification in the implementation of this Change.

This Scenario Variant should be in the D0139/MM00036 - Confirmation or Rejection of Energisation Status Change and be sent from the CMRM to the DNO.

2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

CMRMs currently cannot send all the Market Messages required of them in Schedule 14 Metering Operations.

3 IMPACTS

REC PARTIES AND SERVICE USERS
DNOs
CMRMs

REC DOCUMENTS / PRODUCTS
Data Specification

MHHS DESIGN
No impact

MHHS DATA SPECIFICATION
Indirect impact

MHHS CODE DRAFTING
No impact

There is indirect impact to the MHHS Data Specification as this Change Proposal is making updates to the Data Specification alongside MHHS, which is also adding Scenario Variants to the D0139/MM00036.

4 CROSS-CODE IMPACTS

There is no cross-code impact identified with this Change Proposal.

5 PROPOSAL PLAN

Milestone	Activity	From	To
Change Proposal		**06-Feb-25**	**24-Jun-25**
	Code Manager assessment	February	March
	Party impact assessment	March	April
	Business case assessment	April	May
	Consultation	May	June
	Final Determination	June	June
Voting		**25-Jun-25**	**25-Jun-25**
	Appeal Window	26-Jun-25	10-Jul-25

As this was raised with a fully form solution, the Code Manager has accepted this in as a Change Proposal rather than an Issue. However, the Code Manager did a full Party impact assessment and consultation, as it is possible Parties built their systems off the Data Specification rather than the Schedule 14 legal text and so will have to make system changes.

6 PRIORITY STATUS AND URGENCY

PRIORITISATION MATRIX

Category	Significance / Resource impacts / Urgency	Further selection / breakdown	Score (0-3)	Weighting	Weighted Score
Impacts	Consumer Impact	Indirect	0	27	0
	Retail Markets Impact	Innovation and Market Arrangements	1	17	17
	RECCo / REC Party / REC Service Provider Impact	Operational Effectiveness and Efficiencies	1	7	7
		Legal and Regulatory Compliance	3	14	43
Resource	Complexity / Effort / Duration		3	9	28
Strategic Alignment	Contribution to/ Alignment with the objectives of the REC		0	17	0
	Contribution to/ Alignment with RECCo's Forward Work Plan		0		0
Urgency *(The need to progress a change to meet a targeted date)*		Other Planned Activity	0	10	0
				Overall Priority Score (rounded)	93

Priority Status	LOW
Change Proposal Type	STANDARD

RETAIL ENERGY CODE

SOLUTION

7 PROPOSED SOLUTION

The proposed solution for this Change is to add the missing Scenario Variant to the Data Specification for the D0139/MM00036 - Confirmation or Rejection of Energisation Status Change. The red-lined flow can be seen below. There are no changes to the flow structure or any Data Items.

MM00036 - Confirmation or Rejection of Energisation Status Change

Market Message Information

Market Message Reference	MM00036
MM Description	This flow confirms that the Energisation Status of a metering point has been changed, or notifies that a change was not possible and gives the reason for failure.
Local Catalogue Reference	D0139
Version	002
Notes	Where an AMVLP (Asset Metering Virtual Lead Party) is involved, the MPAN Core must contain an AMSID (Asset Metering System Identifier) and not an MPAN

Scenario Variants

Scenario Variant	Name	Source	Target	Owner	Api Route	Api Method
SV00036	Confirmation or Rejection of Energisation Status Change	Distribution Network Operator	Electricity Meter Equipment Manager	Retail Energy Code Company		
SV00037	Confirmation or Rejection of Energisation Status Change	Distribution Network Operator	Electricity Supplier	Retail Energy Code Company		

SV00038	Confirmation or Rejection of Energisation Status Change	Electricity Meter Equipment Manager	Distribution Network Operator	Retail Energy Code Company		
SV00039	Confirmation or Rejection of Energisation Status Change	Electricity Meter Equipment Manager	Half Hourly Data Collector	Retail Energy Code Company		
SV00040	Confirmation or Rejection of Energisation Status Change	Electricity Meter Equipment Manager	Non Half Hourly Data Collector	Retail Energy Code Company		
SV00461	Confirmation or Rejection of Energisation Status Change	Electricity Meter Equipment Manager	Asset Metering Virtual Lead Party	Retail Energy Code Company		
SV00495	Confirmation or Rejection of Energisation Status Change	Safe Isolation Provider	Electricity Supplier	Retail Energy Code Company		
SV00501	Confirmation or Rejection of Energisation Status Change	Crowded Meter Room MEM	Electricity Supplier	Retail Energy Code Company		
SVAAAAA	Confirmation or Rejection of Energisation Status Change	Crowded Meter Room MEM	Distribution Network Operator	Retail Energy Code Company		

RETAIL ENERGY CODE

PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT

8 SUMMARY OF IMPACT ASSESSMENT ACTIVITY

8.1 SERVICE PROVIDER IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

No Service Provider impact assessment was required as part of this Change Proposal.

8.2 REC PARTY IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

A Party impact assessment was conducted from 20 March to 11 April 2025. A total of four responses were received from Alt HAN Co, one CMRM, one DNO and one Energy Supplier. All responses were submitted non-confidentiality and have been published here.

ENERGY SUPPLIERS	• The Energy Supplier was happy with the Change Proposal, and agreed it addressed the problem statement. • They disagreed that all processes and scenarios had been considered, and would like the Code Manager to consider the inclusion of a CMRM to MEM variant in Schedule 14 section 22.5.1 informing the MEM when the Energisation Status has been changed for longer than one day. The Code Manager has confirmed this cannot be looked at under the scope of R0242, but encourages the Energy Supplier to raise a new Issue for this to be investigated. • They confirmed as an Energy Supplier they saw no direct impact on themselves and so had no costs and no required lead time for implementation. • They agreed they thought this Change Proposal should be implemented.
DISTRIBUTION NETWORK OPERATORS	• The DNO was happy with the Change Proposal, and agreed it addressed the problem statement. • They agreed all processes and scenarios had been considered and had no comment on the proposed Scenario Variant. They confirmed they believe the Change Proposal appropriately accounts for MHHS arrangements. • They confirmed they thought six to nine months between approval and implementation would be required. They also confirmed they expected their costs to be under £10,000 for the implementation of this Change Proposal. • They agreed they thought this Change Proposal should be implemented.
ALT HAN CO	• Alt HAN Co were happy with the Change Proposal, and agreed it addressed the problem statement. • They agreed all processes and scenarios had been considered and had no comment on the proposed Scenario Variant. They also provided no comment as to whether the solution accounts for MHHS arrangements.

	• They confirmed they foresaw no required lead time for implementation and expected no costs from the implementation of this Change Proposal. • They agreed they thought this Change Proposal should be implemented.
CROWDED METER ROOM MEM	• The CMRM was happy with the Change Proposal, and agreed it addressed the problem statement. • They agreed all processes and scenarios had been considered and had no comment on the proposed Scenario Variant. They confirmed they believe the Change Proposal appropriately accounts for MHHS arrangements. • They confirmed they required one to two months between approval and implementation, but that MHHS would take priority in development over this Change Proposal. They also confirmed they expected their costs to be under £10,000 for the implementation of this Change Proposal. • They agreed they thought this Change Proposal should be implemented.

9 RISKS, ASSUMPTIONS, ISSUES AND DEPENDENCIES

9.1 RISKS AND ISSUES

No risks have been identified with this Change Proposal.

NO.	ISSUE	NOTES	HOW TO PROGRESS
R0242.I001	Impacted Parties may have built their systems based on the Data Specification rather than the Schedule 14 legal text and so require system changes to send/receive the D0139.		Closed - validated at Party impact assessment and used to inform implementation timescales.

9.2 ASSUMPTIONS AND DEPENDENCIES

No assumptions or dependencies have been identified with this Change Proposal.

10 BUSINESS CASE ASSESSMENT

10.1 COSTS

There are no central system costs associated with the implementation of this Change Proposal. The DNO and CMRM who responded to the impact assessment both confirmed they expected their costs to be under £10,000 for the implementation of this Change Proposal.

10.2 BENEFITS

INTANGIBLE BENEFITS	BENEFITS DESCRIPTION
ALLOWS CMRMS TO BE COMPLIANT WITH THE REC	CMRMs cannot currently fulfil all their obligations under the REC, as Schedule 14 Metering Operations requires them to send the D0139 to the DNO but there is no Scenario Variant in the Data Specification allowing them to do so. The implementation of this Change will correct this oversight in the Data Specification.

10.3 COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS

There are no central system costs, the costs to impacted Party types are minimal and there is one intangible benefit associated with this Change Proposal. As such, the Code Manager believes there is a positive case for change.

11 CASE FOR CHANGE

The Code Manager considers there is a positive case for change for implementing this Change Proposal on the basis that the proposed solution:

- Is both viable and implementable, and effectively resolves the problem statement;
- Has no associated costs and has proportionate effort required for implementation; and
- Enables CMRMs to fulfil all their obligations under the REC.

12 SUMMARY OF CONSULTATION RESPONSES

A consultation was circulated on 01 May 2025 to obtain views on the Change Report (For Consultation). In total four responses were received from an Energy Supplier, a DNO, a CMRM and the CMRC. The key themes from these responses have been summarised and set out below.

12.1 SUMMARY OF RESPONSES

All four responses were positive and in favour of the Change Proposal being implemented.

12.2 COMMENTS ON THE SOLUTION

All four respondents agreed with the proposed solution.

12.3 CASE FOR CHANGE AND CODE MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION

All four respondents agreed with the Code Manager's assessment of the costs and benefits for this change and agreed with the recommendation of approval, and that this Change Proposal should be subject to Self-Governance approval by the REC Technical Expert Panel.

12.4 IMPLEMENTATION DATE AND APPROACH

All four respondents agreed with the Code Manager's recommendation that this Change Proposal should be implemented on 27 February 2026, and with the implementation technique of a big bang implementation.

13 SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS MADE FOLLOWING CONSULTATION

No changes have been made following consultation.

14 APPENDIX

CHANGE PROPOSAL	Link
CONSOLIDATED IMPACT ASSESSMENT RESPONSES	Link
CONSOLIDATED CONSULTATION RESPONSES	Link
RELATED CHANGE(S)	R0043

15 GLOSSARY

TERM	DEFINITION
Change Proposal	means a proposal to change this Code made in accordance with Clause 11 of the main body of this Code or the Change Management Schedule.
Crowded Meter Room Co-Ordinator (CMRC)	has the meaning given to that expression under the DCUSA.
Crowded Meter Room MOA (CMRM)	means a Qualified Metering Equipment Manager that has been contracted to perform that role by the Crowded Meter Room Coordinator.
Data Item	means the most granular level of data defining a specific attribute in respect of a data type, the permissible values for which are defined and controlled in the Data Item Catalogue.
Data Specification	means the document of that name forming part of the Technical Specification.
Distribution Network Operator (DNO)	means a person holding an Electricity Distribution Licence.
Issue	means an issue affecting this Code that may become a Change Proposal in accordance with the Change Management Schedule.
Market Message	means a structured communication sent between two Market Participants in the form and with the content required (and as otherwise specified) by the Data Specification.